

Addressing BOD₅ Limitations through Total Organic Carbon Correlations: A Five Facility International Investigation

January 2013

An ITA Research & Development Report

Prepared for:

INSTRUMENTATION TESTING ASSOCIATION (ITA)
PMB 23805 PO Box 2428 Pensacola, FL 32513-2428

Prepared by:

XCG CONSULTANTS LTD., 820 Trillium Drive, Kitchener, Ontario N2R 1K4
Stephen G. Nutt, M.Eng., P.Eng., Senior Consultant, XCG Consultants, Ltd., Kitchener, Ontario
John Tran, P.Eng. Process Engineer, XCG Consultants, Ltd., Oakville, Ontario

Technical Advisory Panel and Utility Contributions provided by:

Chris Vriezen, Utilities Manager, Town of Quispamsis, New Brunswick
Graham Fuga, MBA, P.Eng., CMA, Wastewater Engineer, City of Winnipeg, Manitoba
Allan Zaleski, Chemist, City of Winnipeg, Manitoba
Alexandre Miot, Assistant Engineer, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, San Francisco, California
Krishna Pagilla, Ph.D., P.E., Professor Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, Illinois
Max Lee, Ph.D., EH&S Analytical Manager Dow Chemical, Environmental Technology Center, Freeport, Texas

Prepared under the direction of **ITA's Board of Directors**

Saeed Assef, President, Louisville and Jefferson County MSD, Louisville, Kentucky
Chris Vriezen, Vice-President, Town of Quispamsis, New Brunswick, Canada
Lynn Campbell, Columbus Water Works, Columbus, Georgia
Ricky Clifton, Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority, Pasadena, Texas
Martin Costello, East Bay Municipal Utility District, Oakland, California
Graham Fuga, MBA, P.Eng., City of Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada
Max Lee, PhD, Dow Chemical, Environmental Technology Center, Freeport, Texas
Stuart Leitch, P.Eng., City of Hamilton, Env. & Sustainable Infrastructure, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada
Walter S. Lund, Prince William County Service Authority, Woodbridge, Virginia
Jeffrey Majka, P.E., Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago, Illinois
Darrol Weiss, City of Calgary, Water Resources, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

Dedicated in memory of **Paul Lagassé, P.Eng.**, ITA Board Director/ Wastewater Engineer, City of Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Addressing BOD₅ Limitations through Total Organic Carbon Correlations: A Five Facility International Investigation

Prepared by XCG CONSULTANTS LTD., Kitchener, Ontario under the direction of the ITA Board.

ISBN 1-58346-C39-x

1. Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
2. BOD₅:TOC Correlation
3. BOD₅ Alternatives
4. Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)
5. Instrumentation Testing Association

RDR13TOC-001 2013

Copyright © 2013 Water and Wastewater Instrumentation Testing Association (ITA) of North America
PMB 23805 PO Box 2428
Pensacola, FL 32513-2428
USA

All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. No part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means or stored in a database or retrieval system without the prior written permission of ITA.

Printed in USA **2013**

Information contained in this work has been obtained by ITA and is believed to be reliable. However, neither ITA nor its officers, authors, or reviewers guarantees the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein and neither ITA nor its officers, authors, or reviewers shall be responsible for any errors, omissions, or damages arising out of use of this information. This work is published with the understanding that ITA and its officers, authors, and reviewers are supplying information but are not attempting to render engineering or other professional services. If such services are required, the assistance of an appropriate professional should be sought.

IMPORTANT NOTICE

The contents of this publication are for general information only and are not intended to be a standard of the Instrumentation Testing Association (ITA).

No reference made in this publication to any specific method, product, process, or service constitutes or implies an endorsement, recommendation, or warranty thereof by ITA.

ITA makes no representation or warranty of any kind, whether expressed or implied, concerning the accuracy, product, or process discussed in this publication and assumes no liability.

Anyone using this information assumes all liability arising from such use, including but not limited to infringement of any patent or patents.

Instrumentation Testing Association

Authorized for Publication by the Board of Directors
Instrumentation Testing Association

The Instrumentation Testing Association is an international nonprofit technical and educational organization dedicated to promoting the understanding, selection, improvement, and cost-effective use of instrumentation and automation applications for monitoring and controlling water, wastewater, and industrial systems. Since 1984, ITA has provided educational and technical services to environmental specialists, including civil, mechanical, electrical, and environmental engineers; scientists; local, state, and government officials; treatment plant operators, technicians, and collection systems personnel; laboratory personnel; chemists; industrial technologists; students; academics; and equipment manufacturers and distributors.

For information on membership, research and testing please contact:

Instrumentation Testing Association (ITA)
PMB 23805 PO Box 2428
Pensacola, FL 32513-2428 USA
Tel: (702) 568-1445 Fax: (702) 568-1446
Internet: <http://www.instrument.org/>

DEDICATION

This research report is dedicated to **Paul Lagassé, P.Eng.**, Wastewater Engineer for the City of Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada. Paul Lagassé was a volunteer Board member for ITA and actively served on ITA's Board from 2002 until his passing in late 2010. Paul was actively involved in ITA's TOC Research project as an advisor and Board member and was significant in helping ITA launch the TOC research project in early 2010. In dedication to Paul Lagassé, we will miss your enthusiasm for learning and teaching; your passion to protect the environment; and your vision for embracing and utilizing available technology now and for a better future.

ITA'S RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

The Instrumentation Testing Association (ITA) was established in 1984 at a United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) meeting held in Chicago, Illinois to address the need for obtaining unbiased and objective research regarding the performance and reliability of online instruments used in the water and wastewater treatment industry. ITA was formed as a 501(c)(3) non-profit technical and education organization to conduct charitable, educational, and scientific testing for public safety. ITA's mission: *to advance the theory and practice of instrumentation and automation for water and wastewater treatment and other public works facilities by promoting the reliable performance of environmental instrumentation and automation technologies*: was developed by its volunteer Board of Directors representative of public and private, water and wastewater treatment utilities, throughout the U.S. and Canada. ITA's mission is designed to advance treatment that will result in providing better public water service and enhancing public health. ITA carries out its mission by developing and disseminating information on instrumentation and automation technologies and conducting educational programs in the field of instrumentation and automation.

ITA's research and development reports are intended to provide innovative information through a review of technical practices and detailed procedures that research and experience have shown to be functional and practical. ITA's cooperative research process provides a unique perspective in the openness of protocol development. All participating stakeholders assist with the development of the test protocol and procedures. In addition, all stakeholders participate in review and final publication of research results to ensure unbiased and technically accurate analyses.

ABSTRACT

This research report presents site-specific correlations between the 5-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅) and total organic carbon (TOC) for wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) representative of various flows, treatment technologies and watershed ecosystems. Full-scale long-term wastewater quality data including BOD₅ and TOC for three City of Winnipeg WWTPs, the Town of Quispamsis WWTP, and the City of San Francisco Oceanside Water Pollution Control Plant (WPCP) were provided for the operating period of 2011 and analyzed to determine if there was a statistically significant correlation between BOD₅ and TOC. Although the focus of this study was the correlation between BOD₅ and TOC, for the Oceanside WPCP, the correlation between BOD₅ and COD was also reviewed. A summary of the treatment technology, sample preservation method used for the TOC analysis, and data analysis for each plant is presented.

Chapter 1 presents BOD₅ limitations and addresses the need for an alternative approach. Chapter 2 presents current practices of using TOC analysis as an alternative to BOD₅. Chapter 3 presents correlation data and analysis between BOD₅ and TOC for five facilities of various flows, treatment levels and climates. Chapter 4 presents a comparative analysis and report summary and Chapter 5 provides wastewater treatment plants with an implementation protocol for developing a site-specific BOD₅:TOC correlation study to allow a TOC limit to be substituted for a BOD₅ limit in permits.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) are challenged with the objective of treating wastewater to a level that is acceptable for discharge into receiving waters (lakes, streams, rivers, estuaries, bays, etc.). Regulatory agencies require that WWTPs routinely measure and report by permit, the biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) of raw and treated wastewater to determine the strength and wastewater loadings to and from WWTPs (USEPA, 2000).

Although the BOD₅ test is still a widely accepted parameter by regulators for characterizing water and wastewater quality, it is inaccurate, unreliable, not effective at low concentrations, and it cannot be used for process control or real-time monitoring due to the time it takes to receive test results, at least five days (Constable, 1979). Wastewater treatment plants can discharge treated effluent that may not meet permit limitations for up to five days before a compliance issue can be identified by the BOD₅ test.

The wastewater industry has expressed a need for an analytical test to replace BOD₅ that can provide quantifiable, precise and timely measurements of receiving stream wastewater loadings and plant removal efficiencies, in addition to providing monitoring and process control capabilities. Total organic carbon (TOC) and/or chemical oxygen demand (COD) have been commonly accepted and used in industrial wastewater treatment as key parameters for process monitoring and control. Global regulations allow COD or TOC to be substituted for BOD₅ when a long-term BOD₅:COD or BOD₅:TOC correlation can be demonstrated.

Wastewater treatment professionals are very eager to make a change from the BOD₅ regulatory reporting requirements. TOC as an alternative to the BOD₅ analysis will save WWTPs in analytical costs and potentially could reduce chemical and energy costs if used for process control purposes. TOC as a BOD₅ alternative will also increase plant operations efficiency and response time to waste loading upsets.

Although there have been many studies that examine the correlation of BOD₅ to TOC; research is needed to demonstrate that TOC can be reasonably used to monitor plant performance as an alternative to BOD₅ measurements for permitting purposes. This research report presents the correlation of BOD₅:TOC for five facilities of various flows, treatment levels and climates and present site-specific equations for each site. The correlations and the statistical significance of each are also summarized.

A statistically significant BOD₅:TOC correlation was demonstrated when all BOD₅ data sets throughout the treatment process including raw sewage, primary effluent, and final effluent were plotted against their respective TOC data sets. Using all BOD₅ data sets throughout the treatment process (from influent to effluent), is what is required to demonstrate a site-specific BOD₅:TOC correlation to allow a TOC limit to be substituted for a BOD₅ limit in permits.

When considering the final effluent data set independently (where low BOD₅ concentrations are present), a statistically significant BOD₅:TOC correlation was difficult to be demonstrated due to the inherent inaccuracies of and ineffectiveness the BOD₅ measurement at low BOD₅ concentrations.

In addition, to guide wastewater treatment plants in developing a site-specific TOC:BOD₅ correlation that could be used to allow a TOC limit to be substituted for a BOD₅ limit in permits, an implementation protocol is presented.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

ITA would like to thank its many contributors who made the valuable information in this report possible, including member utilities who took the time to collect data and donate vital resources.

Project Advisory Panel:

The project advisory panel representative of consultants and municipal and industrial wastewater treatment plants provided in-kind support, superb guidance and judicious oversight for all aspects of this research project. The project team extends profound gratitude for the volunteer time extended by advisory panel members whom provided their technical expertise and valuable insights that reinforced the aspects of the project approach, analysis and documentation of research findings.

Municipal Partners

Chris Vriezen, Utilities Manager, Town of Quispamsis, New Brunswick
Graham Fuga, MBA, P.Eng., CMA, Wastewater Engineer, City of Winnipeg, Manitoba
Allan Zaleski, Chemist, City of Winnipeg, Manitoba
Alexandre Miot, Assistant Engineer, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, San Francisco, California

Industrial Partners

Max Lee, Ph.D., EH&S Analytical Manager Dow Chemical, Environmental Technology Center, Freeport, Texas

Academic Partners

Krishna Pagilla, Ph.D., P.E., Professor Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, Illinois

ITA technical staff project management and development was provided by Tony Palmer, Executive Director, and Maureen Ross, P.E., Technical Programs Director.

FUNDING

This publication was made possible through ITA membership dues, research funding contributions and in-kind services provided by:

City of Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada
Town of Quispamsis, New Brunswick, Canada
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, San Francisco, California, USA
Dow Chemical, Environmental Technology Center, Freeport, Texas, USA
Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, Illinois, USA



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION..... 1

 1.1 Background..... 1

 1.2 BOD₅ Limitations - The Problem..... 1

 1.3 TOC: A New Approach..... 1

 1.4 The Issue: How to Implement Change 2

 1.5 City of Santa Cruz, California Now Reports Using TOC Instead of BOD₅..... 2

 1.6 European Community Uses TOC Instead of BOD₅ 3

 1.7 The Need for TOC Correlation Research..... 3

 1.8 Research Approach and Benefits..... 3

2. IMPORTANCE OF USING TOC IN PLANT OPERATIONS 5

 2.1 TOC Analysis 5

 2.2 Role of TOC Measurements in Wastewater Treatment 5

 2.3 TOC as an Alternative to BOD₅ 6

3. DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS 7

 3.1 Oceanside WPCP, San Francisco, CA, USA 7

 3.1.1 *Plant Overview* 7

 3.1.2 *Sampling & Preservation Method* 8

 3.1.3 *Correlation Data and Statistical Analysis of Correlation* 8

 3.2 Longwood Park Sewage Lagoon, Town of Quispamsis, NB, Canada..... 14

 3.2.1 *Plant Overview* 14

 3.2.2 *Sampling & Preservation Method* 15

 3.2.3 *Correlation Data* 15

 3.3 City of Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada..... 17

 3.3.1 *Plant Overview* 17

 3.3.2 *Sampling & Preservation Method* 19

 3.3.3 *Correlation Data* 19

4. SUMMARY 28

5. IMPLEMENTATION PROTOCOL..... 30

6. REFERENCES..... 32

TABLE

Table 2.1 Online TOC Instrument Manufacturers..... 5

Table 4.1 Summary of Site-Specific Equations..... 29

FIGURE

Figure 3.1	OSP –Primary Effluent BOD ₅ versus TOC (Mar 2011 - Oct 2011)	9
Figure 3.2	OSP –Final Effluent BOD ₅ versus TOC (Mar 2011 - Oct 2011)	9
Figure 3.3	OSP – Combined Primary Effluent and Final Effluent BOD ₅ versus TOC	
	(Mar 2011 - Oct 2011).....	10
Figure 3.4	OSP – Combined Primary Effluent and Final Effluent Soluble BOD ₅ versus ...	
	Soluble TOC (Mar 2011 - Oct 2011)	11
Figure 3.5	OSP –Primary Effluent BOD ₅ versus COD (Mar 2011 - Oct 2011).....	12
Figure 3.6	OSP –Final Effluent BOD ₅ versus COD (Mar 2011 - Oct 2011).....	13
Figure 3.7	OSP – Combined Primary Effluent and Final Effluent COD versus BOD ₅	
	(Mar 2011 - Oct 2011).....	14
Figure 3.8	Longwood Park Sewage Lagoon - Raw Sewage BOD ₅ versus TOC (Mar	
	2010 - Dec 2011).....	15
Figure 3.9	Longwood Park Sewage Lagoon - Final Effluent BOD ₅ versus TOC (Mar	
	2010 - Dec 2011).....	16
Figure 3.10	Longwood Park Sewage Lagoon - Combined Raw Sewage and Final	
	Effluent BOD ₅ versus TOC (Mar 2010 - Dec 2011).....	17
Figure 3.11	NEWPCC - Raw Sewage BOD ₅ versus TOC (Jan 2011 - Dec 2011).....	20
Figure 3.12	NEWPCC - Final Effluent BOD ₅ versus TOC (Jan 2011 - Dec 2011).....	21
Figure 3.13	NEWPCC – Combined Raw Sewage and Final Effluent BOD ₅ versus TOC	
	(Jan 2011 - Dec 2011)	22
Figure 3.14	NEWPCC - Final Effluent cBOD ₅ versus TOC (Jan 2011 - Dec 2011).....	23
Figure 3.15	SEWPCC - Raw Sewage BOD ₅ versus TOC (Jan 2011 - Dec 2011).....	24
Figure 3.16	SEWPCC - Final Effluent cBOD ₅ versus TOC (Jan 2011 - Dec 2011).....	25
Figure 3.17	WEWPCC - Raw Sewage BOD ₅ versus TOC (Jan 2011 - Dec 2011).....	26
Figure 3.18	WEWPCC - Final Effluent cBOD ₅ versus TOC (Jan 2011 - Dec 2011).....	27

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Santa Cruz WWTF NPDES Permit
Appendix B	Oceanside WPCP Process Flow Diagram
Appendix C	Longwood Sewage Lagoon Process Flow Diagram
Appendix D	Winnipeg NEWPCC Process Flow Diagram
Appendix E	Winnipeg SEWPCC Process Flow Diagram
Appendix F	Winnipeg WEWPCC Process Flow Diagram
Appendix G	Winnipeg WPCC Carbon Balance